

Regulatory Framework hybrid-VPP4DSO 09.06.2017, Ljubljana

Tara Esterl Manuel Froschauer, Johanna Spreitzhofer, Werner Friedl AIT Austrian Institute of Technology

Energy Department | Electric Energy Systems



Agenda

- How does the regulatory framework look like for the analysed use cases?
- What are possible remuneration schemes for grid-oriented use cases?
- (How) can a hybrid-VPP be implemented in the current regulatory framework (coordination models)?



Regulatory framework of Use Cases



Overview about the use cases

Market (VPP) driven use cases

- (1a) Participation in Flexibility markets
- (1b) Participation in Flexibility markets considering restrictions from distr. grid
- (1c) Optimization of demand profiles to minimize costs of supply from energy only-markets (intraday, day ahead ...)



3a

3C

Customer driven use cases

(2a) Minimization of grid connection costs for new generators

(2b) Minimization of grid connection costs for new consumers



(3a) Optimization of grid investments of DSO

(3c) Support of DSO during maintenance and special switching states under a quality regulation scheme



Use Cases – Minimization of grid connection costs of new producers

- General connection requirement of the DSO (in Austria § 5(1)Z2 EIWOG)
- Detailed regulation for grid connection is defined in connection guideline from grid operator:
 - Connection at technically feasable point (reasonable for DSO)
 - Economic interests from grid user have to be considered
 - User has no legal entitlement for most economical grid connection point
- According to TOR D4 (Austrian grid connection code: parallel operation of producers with distribution grid) it is possible to implement a production management (active power management based on frequency/reactive power/operation based).



3a Use Cases – Optimization of grid investment

- DSO has to build and preserve grid infrastructure (§ 5(1)Z3 EIWOG)
 - § 45 Z22: DSO shall use demand and supply side management from decentralized units to reduce grid enhancement costs.
- DSO can use hybrid-VPP to reduce grid enhancement costs.
- DSO has to create cost-benefit analysis to show economic benefits in comparison to grid investment.



3c Use Cases – Support of DSO during maintenance and special switching states under a quality regulation scheme

- According to 21 (1) EIWOG can grid connection refused without compensation in case of:
 - Exceptional grid conditions;
 - Lack of network capacities;
- In the Regulation System for the "Third Regulation Period of the Power Distribution Network Operators" in Austria, there are still no quality elements according to § 59 (1) in conjunction with § 19 EIWOG



Conclusion: How does the regulatory framework for these use cases look like?

Minimization of grid connection costs: General connection requirement

> Benefit for <u>network users</u> possible but no legal claim

- Optimization of grid investment costs: Sufficient network infrastructure Existing benefits of a hybrid-VPP
- Maintenance and special switching states: exceptional grid state

No real incentive for hybrid-VPP



In der "Regulierungssystematik für die dritte Regulierungsperiode der Stromverteilernetzbetreiber" finden sich noch keine Qualitätselemente gemäß §59 (1) iVm §19 ElWOG



hybrid-VPP – regulatory limitations





Hybrid-VPP – regulatory limitations





Compensation of grid-oriented flexibility



Traffic light concept - general

- Green: market phase. No critical grid conditions.
- Yellow (compensated): potential shortage. DSO procures grid-oriented flexibility. The remaining flexibilities stays on the market.
- Red (not compensated): actual shortage. DSO switches directly.

Unusual grid conditions	
grid oriented flexibility	
System oriented flexibility	
Market oriented flexibility	

- in case of unusual distribution-grid conditions (red), DSO usage of flexibility has priority.
- BUT in case of unusual transmission-grid conditions (red), it is not 100% clear if DSO or TSO usage of flexibility has priority.



Traffic light system - legal implementation in DE

Producer - DE	Regulation	Compensation	
		- Red Phase: §13(2) EnWG	No compensation
Gri	Grid oriented flexibility	- Yellow and red Phase EEG- funded producers: §15(1) EEG iVm §13(4) und §13(2) EnWG - Yellow (Forced-)Phase:	"Härtefallregelung": 95% compensation up to 1% of yearly revenues - afterwards 100% compensation Appropriate (cost)
		- Yellow (Market-)Phase:	Contractual compensation
	Consumer - DE	§13(1) EnWG Regulation	Compensation
Grid oriented flexibili		- Red Phase: §13(2) EnWG	No compensation
		- Yellow Phase TSO: §13(4a)	Compensation according to
	id oriented flexibility	EnWG iVm AbLaV	auction prices
		- Yellow Phase DSO: §14a EnWG	Reduced grid payments



Traffic light system - legal implementation in AT

_	Producer - AT	Regulation	Compensation
	Grid oriented flexibility	- Red Phase: §21(1) EIWOG	No compensation
		- Yellow Phase TSO: §23(2)Z5 or §23(9) iVm §66(1)Z7 ElWOG	Compensation for damages (economical disadvantage and costs).
		- Yellow Phase DSO: no regulation	
_	Consumer - AT	Regulation	Compensation
Grid oriented flexibility	- Red Phase: §21(1) EIWOG	No compensation	
	Grid oriented flexibility	- Yellow Phase: no regulation, but possible application interruptible grid tariffs (§3 Z7 SNE)	Reduced grid payments

Grid oriented production management is implemented in grid code.



Traffic light system legal implementation in SI

Producers & consumers SI	Regulation	Compensation
Grid oriented flexibility	- Red phase: Artikel 146 (1) and Artikel 146 (5) EZ	No compensation – system stability is more important than individual interests.
	- Yellow phase not defined	No compensation



Coordination schemes of hybrid-VPP

Coordination schemes







hybrid-VPP Model Service Provider (SP)

One party takes over all roles in the operation of a Hybrid-VPP





hybrid-VPP Model Aggregator

DSO is only communicating the grid conditions. Aggregators run the switching infrastructure and are responsible for the dispatch of the flexibilities.





hybrid-VPP Model Single Market

DSO procures the required flexibility on a Single Market for Flexibility





hybrid-VPP Model Flexibility

DSO runs switching infrastructure. Flexibility chooses its marketer.





hybrid-VPP Model DSO

DSO operates switching infrastructure. DSO aggregates and selects aggregator





Conclusio coordination schemes

 (How) can a hybrid-VPP be implemented in the current regulatory framework (coordination schemes)?



Model **SP**: One party takes over all roles in the operation of a hybrid-VPP. Model **Aggregator**: DSO communicates grid state. AGG is responsible for dispatch. Model **Single Market**: DSO

procures the required flexibility on a Single Market.

Model **Flexibility**: DSO runs switching infrastructure. Flexibility chooses its marketer.

Model **DSO**: DSO operates switching infrastructure. DSO aggregates and selects the aggregator.

Little need for coordination

Competition

Single Market for Flexibility

Easy to change aggregator

Simple configuration; Aggregator focuses on core business Little competition

DSO has to rely on third party for grid operation

Risky for DSO

Aggregator relies on DSO for correct switching

Aggregator is reduced to marketer role

Coordination schemes







Outlook







Regulatory Framework hybrid-VPP4DSO 09.06.2017, Ljubljana

Tara Esterl Manuel Froschauer, Johanna Spreitzhofer, Werner Friedl AIT Austrian Institute of Technology

Energy Department | Electric Energy Systems